IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 25TH JUNE 2007 / 4TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 15497 of 2006(T)

PETITIONER:

K. THOMAS MATHEW, AGED 53 YEARS,
JUNIOR SUPERINTENDENT, P.W.D. SPECIAL
BUILDINGS DIVISION, P.M.G. JUNCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.SASINDRAN
SRI.TOJAN J. VATHIKULAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D. ADMINISTRATION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
P.W.D. SPECIAL BUILDINGS DIVISION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
DEPARTMENT OF P.W.D., SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 15497 of 2006(T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1:	COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 1.3.2006 PROMOTING THE PETITIONER AS JUNIOR SUPERINTENDENT.
EXT.P2;	COPY OF THE REPORT OF USG SCAN PF ABDOMEN/PELVIS DATED 21.4.2003 ISSUED FROM THE KANHANGAD HEAD CARE & SCAN CENTRE.
EXT.P3:	COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 17.3.2006.
EXT.P3(A):	COPY OF THE FORWARDING LETTER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
EXT.P4:	COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 29.5.2006 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
EXT.P5:	COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 5.6.2006.
EXT.P5(a):	COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT FORWARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
EXT.P6:	COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER DATED 10.9.2004.

.....

BKN // TRUE COPY//

K. BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) No.15497 of 2006 (T)

Dated this the 25th June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is presently working as a Junior Superintendent in the Public Works Department, Buildings Division, Thiruvananthapuram. He is a native of Kanhangad and, therefore, he is desirous of getting a transfer to Kasaragod or Kannur. Claiming this relief, he submitted Exts.P3 and P5 representations before the first respondent. Thereafter, this writ petition is filed, seeking appropriate relief.

2. It is common knowledge that many of the offices in the northern parts of the State are remaining unmanned, for want of hands; whereas demand for transfer to Thiruvananthapuram is very heavy. Therefore, prima facie, I feel that there may not be any administrative difficulty in considering the request of the petitioner favourably, especially in view of the fact that the petitioner is due to retire in May, 2008.

In the facts of the case, the first respondent is directed to consider Exts.P3 and P5 representations

and pass orders thereon within one month from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

This writ petition is disposed of as above.

K. BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,
JUDGE.

vav.